

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/080,699	02/25/2002	Vincenzo Arcella	108910-00053	8373	
7590 08:06/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
ARENT FOX KINTNER PLOTKIN & KAHN, PLLC			FORTUNA	FORTUNA. ANA M	
Suite 600 1050 Connecticut Avenue Washington, DC 20036-5339			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1723		

DATE MAILED: 08/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/080,699	ARCELLA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ana M Fortuna	1723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 N	larch 2004.					
3)⊠ Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5, 10-14, 18-21, and 23-241</u> is/are	☐ Claim(s) <u>1-5, 10-14, 18-21, and 23-241</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-9,15-17,22,25 and 26</u> is/are rejected						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	No.				
Application Papers		Y				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc	- · ·	• •				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Offi	ce Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Applicate rity documents have been received in Received.	ation No ived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	<u></u>	I Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/080,699

Art Unit: 1723

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in Ex parte Wu, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of Ex parte Steigewald, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); Ex parte Hall, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and Ex parte Hasche, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claim 6, 7-9 recites the broad recitation in claim 6, line 2 the ionomer is claimed as "perfluorinated polymer", and the claim also recites preferably comprising "-S03H, and/or-COOH," which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.
- 2. Claims 8, 17, 25-26, 22, 15, 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 8 is incomplete; the term monomeric units in line 2 should read "fluorinated monomeric units. Claims 15-16 are unclear, because it contradicts the limitation

Application/Control Number: 10/080,699

Art Unit: 1723

of claim 1, which is limited to amorphous polymer, while claim 15 is directed to both amorphous and crystalline perfluoropolymers, and claim 16 further exclude the amorphous. Claim 17 is incomplete as to whether a "liquid" separation "is intended, and as to whether the process comprise the step of contacting the liquid with the membrane.

- 3. Claims 1-5, 10-14, 18-21, and 23-24 are allowed over the prior art of record.
- 4. Claims 6-9, 15-17, 22, 25-26 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The membrane made of the material an/or process as claimed in the present invention and having the water permeability, or uses in reverse osmosis and ultrafiltration or microfiltration operations is not disclosed or suggested in the prior art of record. The combination of limitations of objected claims, once clarified and completed will also be in conditions for allowance. The Examiner proposed and Examiner's amendment to Gautam Prakash, which did not result in an agreement, since more time was necessary to get a response from his client.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ana M Fortuna whose telephone number is (571) 272-1141. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00 M-F.

Application/Control Number: 10/080,699

Art Unit: 1723

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda L. Walker can be reached on (571) 272-1151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ana M Fortuna
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1723

AF July 26/04